

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 812 Case file # 95-HQ-288871.

Material Examiner Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: STEVE ROBERTSON

Area(s) of Expertise: HAIR AND FIBERS

Review commenced at: 8:50A (Time), 9/14/99 (Date)

File #: 95-288871

Laboratory #(s): 90703022

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 90703022 Date: OCT 12, 1999

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ AND UNKNOWN TECHNICIAN

Laboratory Number: 90703022

Laboratory Number: _____

Laboratory Number: _____

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SR

CRM - 2896

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: SUBMITTING AGENCY LETTER DATED JUNE 27, 1986,

Results of Review

File #: 95-288871

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q4, K1

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials SM

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.

Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

- #1. THE DOCUMENTATION IS INSUFFICIENT TO DETERMINE IF THE TESTS WERE PERFORMED IN A SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTABLE MANNER.
- #2. THE RESULTS ARE MARGINALLY DOCUMENTED IN THE NOTES. THE NOTES ARE NOT DATED, ARE IN PENCIL AND ARE NOT INITIALED BY THE TECHNICIAN. THE NUMBER OF HAIR AVAILABLE AND EXAMINED IS NOT ADEQUATELY DOCUMENTED. THE TECHNICIAN DOES NOT DOCUMENT THE RECOVERY AND MOUNTING OF ANY HAIR OR FIBERS FROM THE CLOTHING. THERE ARE A FEW ERASURES IN THE NOTES.

A CONFIRMATION OF THE HAIR COMPARISON WAS APPARENTLY OBTAINED FROM ANOTHER EXAMINER, BUT THE CONFIRMATION SHEET DOES NOT SPECIFY WHAT 3 SPECIMENS WERE EXAMINED.

Review completed at: 9:20A (Time), 9/14/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1/2 hour

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts
(Signature)

9-14-99
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials SR